W GIOLE 1 STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT 2 COUNTY OF SCOTT FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT 3 4 State of Minnesota, SENTENCE 5 Plaintiff, 6 File No. 96-05000 -vs-7 BRIAN PATRICK MERKT FILED 8 Defendant. JUL 0 2 1997 9 SCOTT COUNTY COURTS. 10 The above-entitled matter came duly on for 11 Hearing before the Honorable Thomas R. Howe, one of the 12 Judges of the above-named Court, on the 13th day of June 13 1997, at the Scott County Courthouse, Shakopee, 14 Minnesota. 15 PEARANCES 16 THOMAS HARBINSON and NEIL NELSON, Office of the 17 County Attorney, County of Scott, appeared on behalf of 18 the Plaintiff. 19 EARL GRAY, Attorney at Law, 386 North Wabasha, 20 Suite 654, St. Paul, Minnesota appeared on behalf of the 21 Defendant. 22 BRIAN PATRICK MERKT, the Defendant, also 23 appeared. 24 STATE OF MINDLESOTA, COUNTY OF SCOTT Curtified to be a true and correct copy of the 25 of on the and of record in my effice. GREGORY M. ESS Court Administrate

here this morning for the sentencing in the case of state of Minnesota versus Brian Patrick Merkt, and before we begin, I want to just say to all of you, many of whom are now familiar looking faces who have been here throughout the course of the trial on and off, that I want to commend you and compliment you for your demeanor and your behavior at and during the time of the trial. It was a difficult time for all of you, as it was for all of us involved in this proceeding, and you conducted yourselves in a very proper manner and in an appropriate manner.

I'm going to ask again today -- we have come here today. It's an emotional moment for many people, and it's going to be a difficult moment for many people who are here. I'm going to ask again that you do as what you did before; that is, that you maintain the dignity and the decorum of the courtroom and your own demeanor, and again I want to thank you for the way you have done that in the past. I know again that having only stated that to you now that shall continue as we go through this proceeding this morning.

Many of you have written letters. I've got this stack of letters, all of which I have read. This is a group of letters from the Herdahl, Bussiere family and friends and relatives, and I have read them all. Then we

also have this stack of letters, and these are people who are friends, relatives, family members of Brian Merkt. I have read all those letters, as well. You express yourselves well in those letters, and I understand this morning that there will be some oral statements made also here at this point.

The purpose of the sentencing is to give both the state and the defense an opportunity to set forth their respective positions as to what would be an appropriate sentence given the specific conviction that's involved. And so we are going to be focusing then this morning on the conviction which that jury handed down — the second-degree murder — and after all those statements have been completed, oral statements by those who wish to make one and statements by the attorneys, then I'll proceed with the sentencing.

So, if we are ready to begin we will start first with the state's presentation, and we will turn it over to Mr. Harbinson.

MR. HARBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I would ask at this time that the record reflect I'm going to read off some names of family members that are present, and I'd like the record to reflect these family members are present, Your Honor.

Tina Herdahl, who's the mother of MacKenzie;

Katherine Herdahl, the maternal grandmother; Phillip and 1 Doris Herdahl, the maternal great grandparents; Andrea 2 Mendez, the aunt; Amanda Herdahl, another aunt, Your 3 Honor; Janelle Shoder, a cousin; Sharon Johnson, a great aunt; Jessica Nylo, a cousin; Anne Raehsler, the paternal grandmother; Sean Bussiere, MacKenzie's father; Shane Bussiere, an aunt of MacKenzie; Doug Herdahl, the maternal grandfather; and Linda Hendrickson, who's a friend of the family. Your Honor, at this time I would ask pursuant to Minnesota Statute 611A, that Tina Herdahl give her

Victim Impact Statement to the court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Herdahl?

MS. HERDAHL: I have two letters to read One is from Nicole and one is from myself. Nicole writes, "Dear Judge: Brian should say in jail forever until he dies. I miss my Mommy and MacKenzie very much and judge, please keep Brian in jail so he won't hurt any other people because I like everybody. Nicole."

And then this is mine. I'm going to start out by saying nothing I write or say will ever bring back MacKenzie. There will never be someone who can put themselves in my shoes and even imagine what kind of hell I have been through.

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

This past year has been a living nightmare, and I wish it would just end. I am a so-called victim but I don't think of myself as one. My daughter, MacKenzie, was a victim. She is the one who suffered the most from Brian's cruel acts. Because of Brian, I can only imagine what MacKenzie would look like today. I can only imagine what she would have been as an adult. Because of Brian, I will never know.

I went from being a happy, healthy person to unhappy and very lonely. I can't concentrate on one thing at a time. I can't be by myself for long periods because I get scared. I start thinking about MacKenzie and what Brian did to her. I think about how much I really do miss my little 'Kenzie. There's just too many emotional things I have had to live with every single day so I only mention some of them that come to mind as I sit and write.

I have to make myself get up every morning. I used to like getting up every day. I had two smiling little faces to see. Little MacKenzie used to come in and open my eyes with her little fingers and say, "Mommy, are you sleeping?" We had lots of hugs and puppy kisses from each other.

I used to make breakfast every morning. Nicole had cereal; 'Kenzie, eggs and toast. They argued over

3

4 5

6 7

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

which chair they were going to sit in or which Tippy Cup they would get to drink from, but things eventually worked out. We always enjoyed our time together.

Today I don't see a point in getting out of I remember playing the quiet game while riding in the car together. 'Kenzie always wanted to play. course, I always lost because the girls then always got a Today when I ride in the car, I would make quiet games because there are no giggly little girls in the back seat. MacKenzie always used to make me laugh by doing something silly. Today I don't laugh much. MacKenzie had a cute little laugh, a special little smile and a bubbly little voice. Her personality was one of a kind.

Today I can't remember all those things because my mind has completely blocked it out. It would be too painful for me to remember. I have pictures and videos but that's it. I hope that some day I can regain the memory of my precious little 'Kenzie.

I have been a burden on my family and friends. They have all put me first in their lives. given me hope, strength and courage. I just wish that I was able to give them the same back, but I don't have much to give anymore. I don't like meeting people or telling things to people. My trust for people is

3

-3

5

6 7

8

J

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

completely gone. I loved and trusted Brian at one time. I trusted him with my child's life and now she is not here. I trusted Brian and I was betrayed. I don't know when I'll ever be able to trust again. Brian took that all away.

I'm becoming rundown and out of energy. kind of tired of sticking up for myself. I'm sick of trying to prove to everybody that I didn't know Brian was I have been ripped apart from head to toe by attorneys, social workers, family, friends and psychologists. If I knew Brian was so mean, I would never have been with him. I'm tired of being screamed at, lied to, and really sick of people not believing me. I have been forced to remember every last detail of my entire life when it's hard enough for me to remember what I did yesterday. If I couldn't remember something or got something mixed up, I was called a liar. Does anyone know how degrading it is to be yelled at and called a liar? I have been made to look like a horrible parent because I let Brian do this to my girls. I'm not the horrible parent. Brian is the horrible child murderer, and I couldn't have known he was going to do this. I know I wasn't a horrible parent, and you know that, too. can't tell me you are a perfect parent because there's no such thing. I'm still trying to prove to this day that

I'm not the criminal. Brian is.

I'm tired of receiving bills from hospitals, clinics and transportation. I have bill collectors calling my house, sending me nasty letters. I received a letter once saying, "On March 9, 1996, Life Link Three provided transportation and hope you are satisfied with their services. From all of us at Life Link Three, we hope MacKenzie enjoyed a speedy recovery and is now feeling much better." Next paragraph it says that I owe them \$2800, and it's past due.

I watched my best friend drink herself to death after MacKenzie died. She couldn't live with the things she heard that Brian did to MacKenzie and couldn't handle all the details. She felt guilty for not being there for me and the girls. She was angry at herself for not being able to save MacKenzie. My best friend died in an alcohol-related car accident last May.

I have horrifying nightmares and horrible flashbacks of MacKenzie lying there on the hospital bed with hundreds of different tubes running in and out, lying there with no smiles, no laughs, not even tears. Helpless. I had to make the decision to really end her life, and I always say I don't wish that feeling upon my worst enemy, but I do. I wish that Brian only knew what love was and how horrible a feeling it is to watch your

child lay there brain dead and helpless.

I guess what kills me the most is I do have Nicole left, and I'm still fighting to get her back. I have spent every penny I have on lawyers. Nicole has been through a hell of a lot for her age and she is suffering bad and she wants -- all she wants to do is be with Mommy. Just ask her.

Nicole not only lost one sister. She lost two out of this whole thing. I have lost two children. I had Brian's baby last September, and I placed her for adoption. It would have been selfish for me to keep her. She needs a fair chance at life. And I worry about Brian coming to find Nicole and even the baby. Brian is a horrible person, and you can't trust what he'll do when he gets out of prison. Nicole is already worried about him getting out. Nicole is deathly scared of Brian. This is only some of the suffering I have been through as a result of Brian. I couldn't possibly tell you it all.

The court asked me what I thought was a fair sentence for Brian and his crime. I really don't know what to tell you because no matter how much time he gets, it's not enough. He should sit in that prison and everything many years for every year that my MacKenzie cannot get to live her life. Brian is a horrible person and a slap on the hand isn't going change him. You know

he'll do it again. They all do. Whatever you end up giving him, whether it's 12 years or 25 years, it's not enough for taking away a life that is so priceless, precious, and so dear to me.

This kind of behavior is not acceptable in this world. Why show you can actually get away with it?

Nicole and I have to suffer for the rest of our lives.

Why shouldn't Brian?

I'm going to close with this. I hope that
Brian thinks of what he did to my sweet little 'Kenzie
every day and his guilt eats him alive. I have enclosed
a picture of myself and what I do. Quite often, pictures
tell a thousand words. Sincerely, Justina Beth Herdahl,
MacKenzie's mother.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. HARBINSON: Your Honor, we have two other persons that are going to give Victim Impact Statements. The next individual will be Katherine Herdahl, the maternal grandmother of MacKenzie.

KATHERINE HERDAHL: On March 9, 1996, around 4:15, the phone rang. It's a call that any grandparents, parents or anyone can never forget happened. It's Tina. I have to get to Minneapolis Children's Hospital right away. It's MacKenzie. She has been air-lifted there and we don't know if she is going to make it.

One minute you're on your way home from work thinking about what you want to do that evening. The next, at the hospital pacing for hours, watching, praying as a lifeless child just lays there not moving, crying. There is nothing. She just lies there with no smiles, tears, laughs, movement. Just a lifeless little body hooked up to a lot of machines.

On Sunday, March 10, it's time to make a decision. The doctors have told us MacKenzie's brain dead and she is not going to come around. We gather around her bed, say our last good-byes, tell her how much we love her and then it's time, and she is gone. She will never laugh, smile, give hugs and kisses, tell her Mommy how much she loves her, play with her sister, talk to grandma. We'll never be able to hold her ever again.

Next week is like a bad dram. There's funeral arrangements, flowers, food, people to call, no sleep for anyone. Finally we are over the funeral. We have also had news people coming to our house. Tina and Nicole come to live with us. They need a place to go so they come to our home. We all try to adapt. Losing MacKenzie, having our lives turned upside down. Work is missed, schedules are changed. I need to adjust.

Amanda, Tina's sister, needs to adjust, and it still has affected her today.

Things start going a little better, then we get the call that Brian is out on bail. Now, what can happen next? Next few weeks, Nicole's paternal grandparents file a custody suit to take Nicole away from Tina. After several days in court, it happens. Nicole is placed there. We have no visits unless they are supervised.

Don't talk to Nicole about MacKenzie. We have lost another child from this. No more overnight visits.

Fourth of July, MacKenzie's birthday. We visit her at the cemetery. We miss Nicole's first day of school, her birthday, Halloween, Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Year's, Valentine's Day and Easter and visits to great grandma's house to see all the cousins they loved to play with.

It's fall now. Tina has a baby. She puts that baby up for adoption. She needs to get her life back together. All -- now we have a third loss. All these losses due to one person, Brian Merkt, and what he did on March 9.

It has been over a year now, but it seems like just yesterday. Going through the defendant's testimony at the trial, attorneys questioning doctors, watching Brian free to come and go as he pleases. The hours waiting for the verdict from the jury. Are we prepared for what we are about to hear? I don't think anyone could be. Did that jury come up with the right verdict?

Only MacKenzie and God can answer that. She will never be able to tell us. We can visit her and talk to her in a peaceful country cemetery and wonder what would she be like today?

So many lives have been affected by this one senseless act. Ours will never be the same. Brian not only took the life of our little MacKenzie, but he also took everyone who knew and loved her. There's not a long enough sentence that Brian could get for what he has done and all we have now are memories. We will never have MacKenzie back. Brian doesn't deserve his freedom back.

Think about MacKenzie, Brian, as you have a lot of time on your hands. Think about the baby you could have had with Tina. Think about how much you miss your family, only some day you can see yours again. MacKenzie can never come to see us again. We just have memories.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HARBINSON: Your Honor, at this time the last person who will give a Victim Impact Statement is Anne Raehsler, the paternal grandmother of MacKenzie.

MS. RAEHSLER: Your Honor, before I give my impact statement, I have a picture of MacKenzie that I would like to give to you, and I don't know whether you would think it proper or not, but I would like it given to Mr. Merkt. I would like him to be reminded of what he

destroyed. This is a beautiful child.

2

THE COURT: You can hand it to Mr. Harbinson at this point, and he'll take care of t later.

4

Go ahead with your statement.

5

6

violently took MacKenzie's life. He took her away from

7

us forever. In her three and a half years, she brought

MS. RAEHSLER: On March 9, 1996, Brian Merkt

8

so much joy to us with her smiles and her laughter, her

9

playfulness and her love. Now we will forever be without

10

that joy. Brian Merkt did that. He took more than just

11

MacKenzie's life. He took a part of our lives, also.

12

We will never be able to see her grow into the person she could have been. We will never see her go to

13

school. What would she have been like on a first day of

14 15

kindergarten? She was bright and loving and full of

16

life. I don't think she would have been timid about

17

going. She probably would have been very excited.

18

That's something we will never know because Brian Merkt

19

took that away from us, too. We will never get to

have any of that because of Brian Merkt.

20 21

experience any of the special days in MacKenzie's life

22

with her, her years in school, the special parties for

23

her birthday, the holidays, watching her grow into the beautiful young woman that she could have been. We won't

24

I feel her loss every minute of every day. But

1

2

3

5

6

more than that, I see what Brian Merkt has done to Nicole more than anyone else. Until the day Brian Merkt murdered her sister, Nicole had never been separated from MacKenzie. They had not only been sisters; they were best friends. They were constant companions. They were together always. Now, Nicole is alone. She lives with us, so I see what Brian Merkt has done to Nicole, also. I see and I hear her nightmares. I see the loneliness when she says to me, "Grandma, I wish Brian didn't kill I wish she was alive again." I see how she MacKenzie. plays pretending MacKenzie is still there. I also see her cry because she knows that MacKenzie is gone forever. I can't explain forever to Nicole I can't take away the fear that Brian Merkt has caused in her. try to help her understand that Brian can't hurt her anymore because he is in jail. But I can't tell her that Brian Merkt will be in jail forever. I wish that I could. Brian Merkt's sentence should be the same sentence that he has forced on all of us. Forever.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Raehsler.

Mr. Harbinson?

MR. HARBINSON: Your Honor, that concludes the Victim Impact Statements under Minnesota statutes the state is obligated to present to the court.

Your Honor, we have presented to you a

memorandum on the state's request for a sentence, and I'm not going to repeat everything that's contained in that memorandum because I don't believe it's necessary to do so.

I would note, Your Honor, that as the court full well knows, the standard of review for an upward departure is whether or not it's an abuse of discretion on the part of the trial court, and that's a very high standard for someone challenging an upward departure indeed if someone is to. Abuse of discretion is very high. The appellate court will normally give a great deal of latitude to this court if this court chooses to do an upward departure.

Your Honor, the state is requesting a sentence of 40 years' imprisonment to the Commissioner of Corrections with two-third's of that sentence as an executed sentence and a maximum supervised release term for the remaining third of that sentence. That would be a 480-month sentence to the Commissioner of Corrections, Your Honor.

Your Honor, because a portion of the sentence is supervised release, we are also asking as part of the sentence that the defendant be directed during the time he's on supervised release to have no unsupervised contact with children under the age of 16. We would also

request -- and this would include the time the defendant is incarcerated, Your Honor -- that he have no contact with Nicole Bussiere or members of the Bussiere or Herdahl families.

In addition, we would ask the court to adopt as part of the sentence the recommendation of the probation agent and that is restitution in an amount of \$4,582.50 to the Minnesota Crime Victim Reparation Board. We have addressed that in the memorandum. I'm not going to go into the reasons that support that, but there's also been an affidavit submitted on that, and we'll stand on the memorandum we submitted to the court.

In addition, Your Honor, we are asking that the defendant pay the out-of-pocket costs for Nicole

Bussiere's continued therapy as it specifically relates to the current offense. And just briefly on that, Your Honor, again we have submitted an affidavit from Dr.

Sandra Hewitt. Defense counsel and the court received as part of the discovery process extensive therapy notes from Dr. Hewitt on the therapy she gave Nicole. The defense has argued that some of Nicole's therapy is for reasons unrelated to the murder and thus it's inappropriate for the state to request that the out-of-pocket costs for Nicole's therapy be included as part of the sentence. Your Honor, I don't think that's

reasonable. I think the principle that really applies is the same principle that would apply in tort law, what in law school we called the thin skull rule: If a victim or an eyewitness to a murder is particularly vulnerable because of past life events. But for being an earwitness to her sister's murder, she would not have needed therapy or would not have needed therapy as extensive as this. It seems to me that it's appropriate for the defendant to take the victim or the eyewitness -- in this case, Nicky -- as he finds her, and he should be required to pay the full out-of-pocket expenses. The reason Nicky was sent to therapy was because she was present in the house when her sister was murdered, and the fact that she has other problems doesn't take away from the fact that but for her being an earwitness to that incident, she wouldn't have needed therapy.

We are also asking you to require that the defendant provide a DNA sample as required by Minnesota statute. We have addressed that in our memo, so I'm not going to go into our reasons for that, Your Honor, and also that the defendant pay the required assessment and surcharge fees as required by statute.

Just briefly, Your Honor to address some points made by counsel for defendant. Counsel has stated that Womack, Lubitz and Larkins cases stand for the

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

proposition that you can't use the facts underlying the offense that the jury did not convict the defendant on to aggravate sentence in this case for the counts the defendant was convicted on, citing the proposition that things like the hymenal tear, past pattern injuries or the other items that are included in the facts of Counts I and II cannot be used by this court as aggravating factors in this case.

Your Honor, we don't believe that those cases stand for that proposition. Womack, Lubitz and Larkins are all plea bargain cases. They are cases where the state agreed as part of the plea bargain to a lesser-included offense and as part of the plea bargain, the facts that underlie the counts that were dismissed weren't included as part of the plea bargain. So, it was not appropriate to include those facts as underlying facts for an upward departure.

In this case, the jury may have found the defendant not guilty on Count I not because they rejected that MacKenzie had hymenal tears, but they may have had other reasons that had nothing to do with the hymenal tears for their finding the defendant not guilty on Count I.

Likewise, on Count II, the jury may have rejected that count not because they didn't accept the

facts that underlaid the past pattern of injuries, but for other reasons that had nothing to do with the facts that constitute the past pattern of injuries.

Your Honor, there's a case that I want to cite to the court, State v. Winchell, at 363 N.W.2d 747 -- and I'll provide counsel with a copy -- where the appellate -- and maybe he has one already, Your Honor, just to make sure -- where the state Supreme Court stated that the fact the defendant pled not guilty to a lesser offense doesn't bind the court to his version of the facts. The fact that Mr. Merkt denies causing the hymenal tears to MacKenzie Bussiere, denies the past pattern injuries to MacKenzie Bussiere, does not bind this court as the court said in State v. Winchell to his version of the facts.

For instance, just to cite one of the items we are asking for an upward departure, and I'm not going to go through them all, Your Honor. They are in our memorandum, but failure to obtain medical treatment. We have cited that as a basis for an upward departure. The defendant says it was an accident. She fell down the stairs and when he became aware she needed medical treatment, he got it. Well, Your Honor, the jury convicted him of murder. They rejected the accident scenario that the defendant gave. You are not now at the

time of sentencing obligated to only take the defendant's scenario that this was an accident. The jury has found him guilty of second-degree murder and since the jury has found him guilty of second-degree murder, it is only reasonable to conclude that the defendant failed to obtain medical treatment promptly for MacKenzie after he inflicted the injuries that constitute the counts for which he was convicted. And the same thing applies, Your Honor, for abuse of position of authority and trust, and the behavior that constitutes particular cruelty.

In addition, Your Honor, one other thing with reference to the bases for the upward departure that we have cited, and that is impact on family and members of the family of the victim. The defense has stated that that is an improper factor; that that's something that happens in every murder. However, Your Honor, in State v. Choklos, a criminal vehicular homicide case that Your Honor sentenced an individual on several years ago after a jury trial. There the appellate court upheld an upward durational departure on grounds that the conduct of the defendant significantly affected the victim's daughter by depriving her of a mother. So, that is valid. And again I'll provide counsel with a copy. I don't know if he has That is a valid basis for an upward departure under our case law, Your Honor.

3

•

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

Your Honor, in addition, defense counsel has stated that vulnerability of the victim does not apply here because it was a part of the elements of the underlying charge for which defendant was convicted. The vulnerability doesn't have to be due solely to age. Vulnerability can be due to taking advantage of a position of trust, which defendant clearly had. himself testified that the mother left him that day with the children as the caretaker. That's the testimony before this court. The defendant himself testified that the children called him dad. He was clearly in a position of trust, clearly in a caretaker role, and that made the three-year-old victim particularly vulnerable, especially since this murder occurred in her own home.

In addition, Your Honor, I'd note in <u>State v.</u>

<u>Stumm</u>, there the jury found the defendant guilty on what could be called a lesser-included count, and there the appellate court upheld the trial judge using factors or facts that were part of the record, that were part of the counts that the jury found the defendant not guilty on.

Just briefly, Your Honor, defendant has also argued that MacKenzie -- that we are not aware if MacKenzie was conscious or not during the time the third-degree assault occurred and so the victim's terror is not a valid basis for an upward departure. Your

Honor, clearly the multiple injuries inflicted on MacKenzie, the abrasions -- and I'm not going to go into all those injuries; you have heard the testimony -- but the multiple injuries inflicted on her show she was conscious at the beginning of this incident. The injuries to her eyelid and to her right face and the rug burn injuries about her face and on her arms clearly show to the extent that a three-year-old can struggle, she did struggle. She was conscious, and victim terror, which she clearly had to have felt, is a proper basis for an upward departure, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the defense has also argued that because the defendant has maintained his innocence throughout these proceedings, the state should not be permitted to, in effect, trump the jury's acquittal of the defendant on Counts I and II. That, in fact, is what the state's request for an upward departure is. Your Honor, I would cite State v. Stumm again to rebut that.

In that case -- and it was also a child abuse case, Your Honor -- the jury convicted on a lesser included, and the appellate court upheld an upward departure. When the jury makes a determination about whether the defendant is guilty or not, they are not to allow their sympathy to affect their judgment and so what the possible sentence should be or should not be is not